UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,892	02/24/2004	Bengt Lejdstrom	4010-38	9991
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 06/03/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/784,892	LEJDSTROM ET AL.				
mierview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3691				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Narayanswamy Subramanian</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>John R. Lastova (Reg. No. 33,149)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 2</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: None.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Subramanian clarified the basis for the 35 USC 101 rejections made in the last office action and suggested claim language to overcome these rejections. Attorney Lastova agreed to consider these suggestions before filing a response to the office action.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Narayanswamy Subramania	an/				
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi					